

Application No.: 10/632,499  
Response dated: March 15, 2011  
Reply after Office Action of November 15, 2010  
Attorney Docket No.: 21295.59 (H5644US)

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-8 and 10-11 are pending in this application.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, and 11 have been amended as indicated hereinabove.

Applicant notes with appreciation that Claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Both independent Claims 1 and 7 have been amended to incorporate all elements of Claim 9.

Claim 9 has been canceled.

Claim 11 had been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Claim 11 has been amended following Examiner's suggestion to overcome the amendment.

Claims 1-11 had been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. written description requirement. Applicant believes that the Claims as amended are now in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph

Claims 1, 2, 7, 8 and 11 had been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Sezan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,682,205) in view of Ma (U.S. Patent No. 7,072,398 B2), Szeliski et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,611,000), Eng et al ("Motion Trajectory Extraction Based on Macroblock Motion Vectors for Video Indexing"), and Iu (U.S. Patent No. 5,361,105). Claim 3 and, apparently, Claims 4-5 had been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Sezan in view of Ma, Szeliski, Eng, Iu, and Dalziel et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,579,444). Claim Claims 6 and 10 had been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Sezan in view of Ma, Szeliski, Eng, Iu, and Edwards et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,851,900). These rejections are respectfully traversed for the following reasons.

Application No.: 10/632,499  
Response dated: March 15, 2011  
Reply after Office Action of November 15, 2010  
Attorney Docket No.: 21295.59 (H5644US)

Claims 1-8 and 10-11, as amended, incorporate all elements of allowable Claim 9, and are, therefore patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Sezan, Ma, Szeliski, Eng, Iu, Dalziel, and Edwards and should be allowed.

It is believed that the present application is in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited in this case. Should any questions arise, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

HOUSTON ELISEEVA LLP

By /Maria M. Eliseeva/  
Maria M. Eliseeva  
Registration No.: 43,328  
Tel.: 781 863 9991  
Fax: 781 863 9931

420 Bedford Street, Suite 155  
Lexington, Massachusetts 02420-1522  
Date: March 15, 2011